

### REMARKS

In response to the Examiner's Action mailed on January 8, 2007, claims 5, 7, and 14 are amended. The applicant hereby respectfully requests that the patent application be reconsidered.

An item-by-item response to Examiner's objections or rejections is provided in the followings:

#### *Drawings*

The Examiner accepts the Drawings submitted on 11/28/2006.

#### *Claim Rejection-35 USC 112*

The Examiner rejects claims 5, 7 and 14 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph because the Specification does not reasonably enable for a diameter **"in a range substantially between ten to one-hundred micrometers"**.

In response to the rejections, claims 5, 7, and 14 are amended and the limitation of a diameter **"in a range substantially between ten to one-hundred micrometers"** in these claims is removed.

#### *Allowable Subject Matter*

The Examiner instructs that Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 12-13 and 15 are allowable.


In response to Examiner's instructions, claim 5, 7, and 14 are amended and would also be allowable.

With the canceled and amended claims, and further with the reasons provided above, the Applicants respectfully request that the objections be withdrawn and the Patent Application as amended including claims 1-10, and claims 12-15 now be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Jian Liu

By



Bo-In Lin -- Attorney, Registration No. 33,948  
13445 Mandoli Drive, Los Altos Hills, CA 94022  
(650) 949-0418 (Tel), (650) 949-4118 (Fax)